

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT TACOMA

6 R.M., individually,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et. al,
Defendants,

10 Defendants.
11
12

Case No. 18-cv-05387-RBL-TLF

ORDER RE: STIPULATION RE-
SETTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION BRIEFING DEADLINES

13 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' joint motion (Dkt. 66) for an order re-
14 setting plaintiff's response and defendant's reply briefing deadlines concerning the defense
15 motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 47). The Court conducted a phone hearing on the record,
16 and having been advised of the relevant issues, the Court will re-note the defense motion for
17 summary judgment for January 11, 2019.

18 Defendants are directed to file a supplemental brief due December 21, 2018 – addressing
19 only the issue of qualified immunity – analyzing and applying recent opinions of the United
20 States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, including but not limited to: *Mellen v. Winn*, 900
21 F.3d 1085 (9th Cir. 2018); *Thompson v. Rahr*, 885 F.3d 582 (9th Cir. 2018); *Jones v. Las Vegas*
22 *Metropolitan Police Department*, 873 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2017); *Morales v. Fry*, 873 F.3d 817
23 (9th Cir. 2017); and *Isayeva v. Sacramento Sheriff's Department*, 872 F.3d 938 (9th Cir. 2017).

1 Plaintiff's response brief is due January 7, 2019, and should address all issues raised by
2 the defense summary judgment motion and supplemental brief on qualified immunity. The
3 defendants' reply brief (if any) is due January 11, 2019.

4 Discovery shall proceed as previously ordered. Dkt. 43.

5
6 Dated this 10th day of December, 2018.

7
8 

9
10 Theresa L. Fricke
United States Magistrate Judge